

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,250	07/14/2003	Vincent S. Broerman	HTCC/03	HTCC/03 7006	
26875	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER		
WOOD, HI 2700 CARE	ERRON & EVANS, LL W TOWER	WORJLOH, JALATEE			
441 VINE S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNA	ГІ, ОН 45202		3621 ·		
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						1		
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		,		
		10/619,250)	BROERMAN, VINCENT S.				
4	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
11		Jalatee Wo	•	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replimate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time cory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status	·							
1) 🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J	uly 2003.						
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,31 and 32 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,31 and 32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from con						
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				 			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer ority docume ou (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 7-14-2003.)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 31 and 32 have been examined.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference character "62" is used to represent the Display and the network (see page. 8, lines 20 & 25).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the selected real estate property" line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 31 recites the limitation "the selected real estate property" in 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 32 recites the limitation "the selected real estate property" in 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,250

Art Unit: 3621

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication No. 2001/0039496 to Good et al.

Good et al. disclose electronically communicating property information to potential buyers over a computer network, the property information stored in a property database including plurality of property records, each property record identifying a real estate property and electronically communicating a real estate transaction document (i.e. "referral fee agreement") to one of a seller party and a buyer party for use in conducting a transaction between a seller and a buyer for a selected real estate property (see abstract, lines 11-20; paragraphs [0064]-[0067]).

10. - Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5974406 to Bisdiskian et al.

Bisdiskian et al. disclose electronically receiving scheduling information (i.e. "personal scheduling choices") from one of a seller party and a buyer party over a computer network, the scheduling information stored in a scheduling record associated with a property record identifying a real estate property (see col. 3, lines 18-20; col. 5, lines 37-45) and electronically communicating the scheduling information to one of the seller party and the buyer party for use in conducting a transaction between a seller and a buyer for a selected real estate property (see col. 4, lines 22, 23, 35-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,250 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No.

5664115 to Fraser.

Fraser discloses electronically generating a disclosure document (i.e. "property record") received from a seller party over a computer network and electronically communicating (i.e. via a home page) the disclosure document to a buyer party for use in conducting a transaction between a seller and a buyer for a selected real estate property (see col. 4, lines 24-30; col. 5, lines 15-26 & 55-60).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jalatee Worjloh whose telephone number is 703-305-0057. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays 8:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for Regular/After Final Actions and 703-746-9443 for Non-Official/Draft.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,250

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, V.A., Seventh floor receptionist.

Jalatee Worjloh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3621

December 16, 2004

JOHN W. HAYES